

THE

NEW



ERSEY CHRONICLE

— COVERING THE


STATE FOR THE MUTUAL UFO NETWORK, INC. —

VOLUME 3 NUMBER 5

MUFON

JULY - AUGUST - SEPTEMBER 1993

## TRANSMUTATION EVENT



*"It is the possible means by which the life force can be placed into an incorporeal body...." See Some Implications for Humans Derived from UFO Close Encounter Reports article begins on page 4*



## FROM THE EDITOR'S DESK

Our final issue of the 1992-93 publishing year begins with a unique and thought provoking article by veteran UFO researcher Tom Benson. Entitled *Some Implications for Humans Derived from UFO Close Encounter Reports*, it is an article that proposes a new paradigm for the closer examination of the UFO phenomena....readers are encouraged to respond (*your letters pro or con will be published in the next issue of the Chronicle*)....In the *State Director's Message: Dulce Mesa, New Mexico ...What's Going On?*..George Filer discusses an interview he had with Sean Morton this summer about Dulce, New Mexico....and we thought we had heard it all....a must read....after what seems a drought ...*Investigative Reports* returns with a recent multiple witness sighting of a UFO in North Bergen, New Jersey. Susan Van Slooten (Northern New Jersey Assistant State Director) and Paul Ferrughelli (NSRC founder) are the lead investigators in this case. Paul presents the complete case along with all it's denials by local officialdom....and interesting parallel article to the *Investigative Reports* is presented by the National Sighting Research Center in their *UPDATE*....a statistical study on *Close Encounter Witness Selection Comparison*... on page 12...a comparison of the *Hynek Sighting Report Classifications* system and the *Vallee/MICAP/Paranet Classification/Ratings* system is presented....*Close Encounters of a N.J. Women* is a must read and originally appeared in *The Home News* on July 25, 1993, we thank subscriber Rachael Mardosa for forwarding the newclip....In *An Inside View*...Tom Benson presents a detailed description of MUFON New Hampshire's third UFO conference...this fall conference is fast becoming the place to be...looks like they will need a larger facility next year....We received *MJ-12: The FBI Link* from Nicholas Redfern via mail from Great Britain..and are happy to print his article...it gives a viewpoint other than American on a subject that has been hotly debated for many years....responses are solicited...*Bits & Pieces* presents two information filled articles...*Researchers Considering Anti gravity as Possible Counter to*

*Natural Force* and *Radio telescope 'very versatile'*...all about the new world wide array that just went online....the answer to last month's UFO trivia question...*O-Bit...Who was Orfeo Angelucci?*....*A Call for Entries for the 1993 Donald E. Keyhoe Journalism Award* ....*Upcoming UFO conferences*...the November 19th *UFO Rally at the United Nations* Info and of course *The Lighter Side of UFOlogy*...till next issue.

## IN THIS ISSUE

From the Editor's Desk.....	Page 2
State Directors Message.....	Page 3
Some Implications for Humans Derived from UFO Close Encounter Reports by Tom Benson.....	Page 4
Investigative Reports.. UFO Observed Over Bergen by Paul Ferrughelli.....	Page 8
National Sighting Research Center Update Close Encounter Witness Selection Comparison by Paul Ferrughelli .....	Page 10
Hynek Sighting Report Classifications....	Page 12
Vallee/MICAP/Paranet Classifications & Ratings.....	Page 13
Close Encounters of A N.J. Women by Tami Luhby.....	Page 14
An Inside View... Third Great Annual UFO Conference In a Row by Tom Benson.....	Page 15
MJ-12: The FBI Link by Nicholas Redfern.....	Page 18
The Information Network.....	Page 19
The Lighter Side of UFOlogy.....	Page 19
Bits and Piece's.....	Page 20
Journalism Award A Call For Entries....1993 Donald E. Keyhoe .....	Page 22
Upcoming UFO Conferences.....	Page 23
O-Bit....Who was Orfeo Angelucci?.....	Page 23
UFO Rally at the UN.....	Page 24

THE NEW JERSEY CHRONICLE

VOLUME 3 NUMBER 5

July - Aug - Sept 1993

EDITOR Paul M. Makuch ; STATE DIRECTOR: George A.Filer ASSISTANT STATE DIRECTORS: Northern New Jersey  
.....Susan Van Slooten Southern New Jersey RESEARCH ASSOCIATES: Tom Benson, Paul Ferrughelli  
CONTRIBUTORS : Tom Benson, Colorado MUFON News, Paul Ferrughelli, George A. Filer, The Home News, New Brunswick, New Jersey, Tami Luhby, Nicholas Redfern, John F. Schuster, Donald Smith, the Fund for UFO Research, The Star Ledger, Newark, New Jersey. EDITORIAL INFORMATION Submitted articles by various writers present the opinions of those authors and do not necessarily reflect the viewpoints of the editor, staff or membership of the New Jersey Mutual UFO Network. Submissions are encouraged, but subject to editing, if deemed necessary. Any portion of this publication may be reprinted with credit given to the individual author and the The New Jersey Chronicle. The New Jersey Chronicle is published Quarterly by the New Jersey Mutual UFO Network. Subscription Rates: \$15.00 per year (USA) . Make checks/MO to Paul M. Makuch Mail to: The New Jersey Chronicle P.O. Box 7603 North Brunswick, N.J. 08902. Copyright 1993 by the New Jersey Mutual UFO Network. The New Jersey Mutual UFO Network is exempt from Federal Income Tax under Section 501 (C)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.



## STATE DIRECTOR'S MESSAGE

### DULCE MESA, NEW MEXICO.... WHAT'S GOING ON?

I interviewed Sean Morton at the MUFON Conference in Richmond this summer. Sean was part of the Dulce Investigation and Research Team who visited the Dulce Mesa on December 16-18, 1989. The team included Fred Bell, Sean Morton, Jim Delatoso, Rich Kashanski, Paul Sheppard and Joseph Randazzal. There had been repeated reports by the local Navajo Indians that unidentified flying objects were seen entering and leaving the mesa.

The research team set up sophisticated ultrasound equipment to investigate the underground systems on the Dulce Mesa. They also used the sound of an alleged Pleiadian Beam Ship recorded by Billy Meier in Switzerland. More than twenty transceivers were buried in a ten mile area surrounding the suspected UFO base. The results of the ultrasound wave survey were analyzed by a Crise Super computer. More than 75 hours of time were used in one of the world's most advanced computer systems, which plotted out a sophisticated data log of the underground strata. The data log revealed that there was some type of base or structure under the Dulce Mesa, 400 feet below the surface. The analysis further revealed that an exceptionally strong power source existed at the 400 feet level. The penumbra of the power source was detected at the 200 foot level. The energy extended eight miles underground. The team believes this energy was a nuclear power core that was generating voltage rated at 400 to 480 volts. It may be a coincidence but the U.S. military standard is 440 volts.

One of the team's subsidiary goals was to test the theory that the Gray aliens are genetic enemies of the Pleiadians. They hoped that this activity would smoke the Grays out by making them think they were under attack by Pleiadian forces. The team hoped they might kill the aliens or at least give them a hell of a headache. The team's studies and concluded the aliens are very sensitive to sonic noise and this activity might cause injury or death to the short Grays. They hoped they could put a stick in the hornet's nest and make something happen.

They set up and started the tests in the evening of December 17th. The team was located at the microwave station overlooking the town of Dulce. It was freezing cold, two degrees above zero. They started up the ultrasound equipment about 11 o'clock at night. Suddenly, they were treated to a spectacular display of 250 shooting stars during the first 45 minutes of the op

eration. They could have been space ships, but they doubted it. When nothing further happened, they eventually got cold and left the area. The ultrasound equipment was left operating drumming the Pleiadian music into the ground.

On December 27th, Pat Minor, Sean's room mate came in from a scuba diving expedition to Santa Catalina Island. He was very excited because he had spotted a giant bright green fire ball that lit up the entire Pacific Ocean. It flew over Catalina Island and hung a left hand turn heading south. The next morning the back page of the December 28th issue of the Los Angeles Times View Section ran a story about a huge green fire ball that flashed across the western states. The headline stated "Huge Green Fire Ball Tracked Across Four States". The article claimed the fire ball was initially traced at the Lumberton Durango, Colorado area where the Dulce Mesa is located. It was reported traveling at between 13,000 and 30,000 feet in a straight line to Catalina Island off the coast of California. Seventy five miles beyond the island, the fire ball made a sharp left turn and headed south. The team called John Mosely an astronomer at the Griffith Observatory who was aware of the object. He felt it could have been a meteor although they were not sure what it was. A low flying meteor can fragment and change direction, but this is very unusual. They called a native American Indian named Electric Warrior who had been their guide at Dulce and had previously provided valuable information. He claimed that two weeks prior to the incident some two hundred native Americans had been having a large party in the desert. The party was broken up when the Indians were chased up and down the valley by a huge boomerang shaped UFO. The large flying object stopped and started, and flew in a very jerky motion. It showered purple and pinkish sparks down on the Indians as they ran. Electric Warrior said that "On the night of December 28th the entire town was illuminated by the green fire ball as it raised up out of the mesa and then flew zipping off to the west. Another almost identical occurrence happened again on January 7, 1990 but instead headed east. It was reported again in the Los Angeles Times the following day. Sean felt their activities drove out the grays from the Dulce area. From January 6th, their have been no reports of UFO sightings, abductions or mutilations from this area. This had been one of the hottest abduction spots in the world.

Note: I can not determine the authenticity of this report. Sean Morton seems intelligent and appeared to believe the story he was telling me. I'm also aware that the green fire ball was seen over the east coast as he described.


George Flier

## Some Implications for Humans Derived from UFO Close Encounter Reports

By Tom Benson

### Back in Time

In this discussion of UFO close encounters we must begin in human pre-history. According to French Ufologist, Aime Michel, in his famous article "Paleolithic UFO-Shapes" he says, "that for two hundred uninterrupted centuries, from about the year 30,000 B.C. to around 10,000 B.C. in the Paleolithic or Old Stone Age, a brilliant civilization flourished in that region of continental Europe which is today south-western France and Cantabrian Spain. A race of people of whom we have no direct knowledge, painted masterpieces on the walls and ceilings of 72 caverns. Most of the paintings dealt with animals. However, there is also something else: incomprehensible signs and pictures. Identical signs of this type are found in different caves often very far apart from each other."



Some samples of identical, but incomprehensible symbols found in 15 various Paleolithic caves located throughout France and Spain.

The petrolyphs are found in locations, such as the Tassili n' Ajjer plateau in the Saharan region of Southern Algeria, in Africa. Most Tassili carvings were made during the 4th-3d millennia B.C. This data indicates that the UFO phenomenon has interacted with humans, for tens of thousands of years.



The above illustration is a collage of petrolyphs (rock or cliff carvings) from around the world. They seem to depict entities of some kind, whether they be "gods" or alien beings.

### Proper Questions

Most if not all, close encounter reports are potential onboard experiences. Besides experiencing the phenomenon myself, I have investigated dozens of close encounter reports as well as reviewed and analyzed hundreds of similar, written reports from the literature. During this period of study, I have contemplated the phenomenon's purpose and intent. I asked myself various questions: Why does the data have similarities, but also contradictions and misinformation?

*continued on next page*



*"Implications" continued from preceding page*




Why the seemingly deliberate deception, by our institutions, government and otherwise and also by the entities themselves? Why are pieces of excised tissue taken from experiencer's bodies? Is the claimed entity "hybridization" program reported by the experiencers physically or parapsychically real or is it a screen memory? What other components of the reported experiencers testimony are screen memories and what is not? How can any of this be logically determined? These questions stimulated myself to review my own memories and those of other experiencers, from my own philosophical and theological perspective and as a result, I have come up with some possible answers.

### M.I.T. Presentation

The paper I presented at the UFO Abduction Study Conference held at M.I.T. in June, 1992 included a UFO close encounter database of 99 reports and is broken down into 39 fields. Of particular interest are those fields falling into three categories that include: structural details, light patterns, and effects on experiencer. From this database, I found a number of variables displayed strong departure from normality. An example follows: Hypothesis: That UFOs having rotating lights would also have lighted portholes or windows. These two characteristics can be connected with the concept of a highly energetic, radiating, propulsion source. Result: There was a nearly significant statistical relationship between the report of portholes or windows observed and rotating lights.

### My Sighting and Propulsion It's System

In July 1953, I observed two UFOs simultaneously in Hamilton Township near Trenton, New Jersey. One of two objects moved to a position about two hundred feet away from me after an arc of blue light jumped between the two objects when they came very close to each other. Inside the partial, translucent structure, near the base of the object, I observed a shadowy counter-clockwise rotating cross mechanism with rounded forms attached. When the object came closer and was directly over me at approximately 50 feet altitude, I observed the underside to move in two directions, the dark round-shaped forms now appeared to be white-yellowish, plasma-like, self contained, brightly-lighted, oval shapes, moving in a counter-clockwise motion.




While this movement took place, concurrently, a light yellow colored mass of light flowed, directly across the entire inner circle which was approximately 10 ft. in diameter, from below on the inner edge to under on the other edge of the circle area. It appeared this center mass of lighted area was revolving on itself, counter to the outer movement of lights near the outer edge of the object. Snake-like shaped objects approximately 1" by 7" moved with the flow of the light mass across the inner circle. The two flows of lighted material was like a gyroscopic effect. The object had a humming, as well as a throbbing sound. The louder the object's sound, the brighter it glowed. I speculate that the propulsion components described above are driven by a generator or other power source, but not observable.

*continued on page 6*

### Transport Process

One of the first humans on record, to be levitated up a UFO transporter beam was Elijah. He was a 9th century B.C. Hebrew prophet who reportedly didn't die, but was taken up in a whirlwind. The transporter beam can project to the side or straight down. Entities, themselves can be involved with the experiencer at the beam's base or just onboard the UFO. The entity seen at Fatima, Portugal on October 13, 1917, is based on, one of the percipients, Lucia's first description, given a Parochial Enquiry held by the local priest. Lucia described the entity as: "a very shining lady, about 1 meter 10 cms. in height, seeming to be between 12 and 15 years old, she was wearing a narrow skirt, a jacket, and a cloak, all with little golden cords running criss-cross through them; she came from 'above', and vanished gradually in the inverse direction; she made no facial movements, nor did she articulate her lower limbs when she walked; she spoke without moving her lips, and moved only her hands from time to time. She turned her back towards the percipients when she departed." The entity was transported within a troncoconic beam of light that was emitted gradually, alternately proceeding and receding, and that had its source, most probably, in a "cloud" with an anomalous and peculiar kind of movement, namely it moved against the wind.



October 13th was the 76th anniversary of the final Fatima visitation, Lucia, one of the original percipients, is still alive and cloistered in a convent. I think what we are

talking about here is a cover-up far deeper than government cover-up. Or are we as a species now, just beginning to get a better understanding of the phenomenon?

### New Body In Our Future?

Over the years, independent witnesses have observed a luminous phenomenon leaving a person's corporeal body at the moment of death or a strange light lingers around the body shortly before death. I speculate this luminous phenomenon, sometimes described, as a sphere or ball of light that moves upwards from the corporeal body, is the life force, formerly contained within its physical shell. When this luminous form reaches its destination, presumably a UFO, which I perceive as a transporter device that can transverse between different dimensions of reality and is involved with what I call the "transmutation process". The transmutation process is my explanation of what I perceived occurred in an astral-type dream, I had many years ago. It is a possible means by which the life force can be placed into another corporeal body at conception or reincarnated or transmuted intact into an incorporeal body contained within an electromagnetic type field. The new incorporeal body will on occasion give the observable appearance of our former corporeal body, but be uniquely different in its essence and capabilities and will appear, approximately, as the same age chronologically as our corporeal body was. Presumably, consciousness will survive this process as the entities biogenetically, etc. transmute the life force into an incorporeal body designed from cellular tissue, etc. taken earlier from our corporeal or our astral body (another name for the life force perhaps), then cloned, incubated and placed in suspended animation or some other preservative process until the transmutation event occurs. (An artist interpretation based on my own drawing and telephone conversation with him can be seen on the front cover of the Chronicle).

The biogenesis of the transmutation process obviously has many unanswered questions as to how technologically, at the subatomic particle level, etc., it is accomplished. We have a lot of knowledge about how our corporeal body works, but extremely scanty information regarding incorporeal body structure and makeup. Possibly, if parapsychical, entity and object, then would be concentrated energy operating at a different vibratory rate than material matter. Never the less, physical effects from these parapsychical forms can occur.

*continued on page 7*




An example, I believe are the possible links between experiencer "scoopmarks" and the "stigmata" phenomenon. Although the "nature" of the physical effects have differences, perhaps, the "source" is the same? Are both transmuted? Possibly, transmutation is a multi-step process. Does the gathering of tissue samples take place initially on our corporeal body with accompanying physiological effects or does it take place on our astral body with resultant physiological effects? Are the entities that conduct this transmutation process, physical or parapsychical, or if just extraterrestrial, is it their technology that has parapsychical components and effects? If the entities are physical then a possible hybridization program is underway. Or is the hybridization program, highly improbable for genetic reasons or is it just a screen memory covering up the transmutation process?

UFOs have been reported to split off from each other, merge with each other, fade out, disappear, and change shape. Many experiencers that have an on-board experience, report the UFO to be larger on the inside than what it appeared to be on the outside. Other than just appear as luminosity or an object phenomenon in the sky, we do not initially, interact with the phenomenon, otherwise, unless the phenomenon itself chooses to do so. These experiencer descriptions indicate the fluid nature of the UFO phenomenon that it is a concentrated energy form that can change its shape and size to accommodate its desired purpose and parapsychical function, such as the transmutation process. The transmutation process is conducted at the astral or parapsychical level or plane of reality. In fact, the whole essence of the "deus ex machina" behind the phenomenon is parapsychical and at times appears to be playing games with us. But, the main game, maybe the game of life.

Several main speakers at UFO conferences this year echo each other regarding the phenomenon stating, that we need a new paradigm (or model) to explain the phenomenon and its processes, intent and implications. I visualize the new required paradigm as an interactive, cyclical process where the external phenomenon: 1. interfaces with the experiencer which 2. initiates consciousness change including converging science and intuition that effectuates 3. societal impact. The three major categories continually interact with the external phenomenon and feedback upon itself at all levels of interaction including synthesis, anti-synthesis and re-evaluation. Each of the three major categories would have many sub categories. The new paradigm I present here, although generally deterministic in scope, if

at any juncture, activity flow is interrupted, disruptions will occur. Examples are experiencers having post traumatic stress disorders, possibly caused by false memories that result from hypnosis, etc. Disruptions at the societal impact level can be collectively intense, even to the point of revolution, if established institutions, rather than adapt, impose sanctions against the forces of change. Any disruptions will be temporary, in light of processes which are close to absolute such as the transmutation process that move us towards our future. For instance, our solar system's existence is limited in time and space and eventually may experience a supernova explosion, resulting in primordial-like debris, and perhaps the planet forming process will begin again and we as incorporeal beings will help the process, because then we are also the entities. The entity agenda appears to be speeding up over the last several decades, particularly in the number of reported onboard cases, evident in the Western world. However, more research on similar cases elsewhere in the world is vital to gain a fuller understanding of the phenomenon.

Because our corporeal body's life span is relatively short, at this point, in our evolutionary path, perhaps all the entity interaction with humans is just a teaching, preparatory mechanism to guide us towards species improvement. Questions do remain. What is the entity's evolutionary goals for us? What is philosophically deterministic or free will? Unexplained phenomenon author, Charles Fort once wrote, "We are property!", or I ask, when do we become one of the teachers as opposed to one of the experiencers? Perhaps, this transition occurs, when we pass onto the next level after the transmutation process is complete. In essence, our body changes, but our life force is immortal, because it is part of the Creator.



A modern artist's interpretation of what UFO witnesses have seen. The above painting was done by Ted Jacobs (Ted Jacobs was the artist who did the cover art on the book *Communion* ).



# INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS

## UFO OBSERVED IN NORTH BERGEN... INDEPENDENT WITNESSES

### BACKGROUND

This sighting was reported to Sue Van Slotten, North Jersey Assistant State Director for MUFON. She is presently conducting the investigation. The NAMES of the Witnesses have been changed in this report; the remaining data is unaltered.

The Sighting occurred on August 18, 1993, between 9:50 pm and 10:15 pm with a duration of 25 minutes in length. The location was in North Bergen, New Jersey on Route 9 South. There were four individuals in an automobile; John, his wife, Son and a friend. John's wife observed what she thought to be a blimp, she described the object as long, thin and cylindrical in shape. There was no sound observed from the object during the sighting. There were no electromagnetic (EM) effects reported.

The object had brilliant white lights on top that appeared to be strobing left to right. The object itself appeared to be glowing. The object was heading toward the southeast. John stated that the object was about 75 to 100 feet in length and approximately 3 miles away. John started driving toward the object and had it in view for about 4 minutes. At one point John said the object appeared to be hovering above the tree line. John lost sight of the object for a moment due to the curvature of the road but regained his view in time to see the object depart like a meteor, streaking away in 2 seconds. John stated that the weather conditions were clear with no clouds observed.

When John arrived at home, he called the Police to ask if anyone had reported any unusual objects in the sky. The Police took his report and stated that no one had called to report a UFO. The North Bergen Police had sent 3 plain clothed detectives to John's house at 11:00 p.m. that night to question John in person. (NOTE: John stated that the detectives were extremely interested in this UFO sighting. There was a uniformed Police officer also dispatched to John's house while the detectives were there.)

The next day John called a Local Newspaper to inquire if any UFO sightings were reported during the previous evening. The reporter who John spoke to appeared

interested in the case and stated he would investigate the sighting. The reporter called some local airports to determine if any blimps were in the area and flying on the evening of the 18th of August. He called Linden Airport and they stated that there was a blimp at the airport, however it was on the ground at the time of the sighting.

**NOTE:** According to Sue; a Fairview Police officer DID see the UFO in question, however, he did not want to be identified.

### SIGHTING DATA

DATE - 18 AUGUST 1993

TIME - 9:50 PM

DURATION - 25 MINUTES

WITNESSES - (4)

LOCATION - RT. 9 SOUTH


WEATHER - CLEAR

DESC - CYLINDRICAL

OBJECT

SOUND - NONE

HYPER CLASS - NOCTURNAL  
LIGHT



NOTES: OBJECT HAD  
STROBING LIGHTS ON TOP  
AND BODY GLOWED  
(2) OTHER WITNESSES  
SAW OBJECT AT 10:00 PM

There was a baseball game in progress in the local area where 25 witnesses observed the same object. (The time for the baseball game may not be consistent with the UFO sighting since it would have been dark at 9:50 pm.)

A very interesting fact about this case happened when Sue called the North Bergen Police department to ask if anyone had reported a UFO for August 18, 1993. She was told there were NO reports in the Police log book. She also asked if there were any Police officers or Detectives dispatched to John's house, again she was told NO.

The reporter at the local newspaper ran a article on August 20, 1993 (See Local newspaper at end of report.) concerning John's UFO sighting. The reporter received a call from another witness and stated that she observed the object while traveling on the New Jersey Turnpike.

### INDEPENDENT WITNESS

Mary called the newspaper and gave her description of the sighting. Mary works at night and on the night of August 19th, her car would not start. She was sitting on her porch waiting for a ride to work, when she spotted the

*continued on next page*



*"Investigative Reports" continued from preceding page*

object at 10:00 pm. Mary's friend arrived and they both saw the object and proceeded to drive toward the UFO. Mary described the object as blimp like but rather long in shape with a single white light on top blinking. She and her friend saw the object silhouetted against the World Trade Towers, heading south toward the battery. Mary and her friend saw the object for 10 minutes. Mary stated that the object could not be a blimp due to the erratic movement of the object. She stated that the object appeared to stop and go, with jerky movements. Mary called her niece and sister and they also stated they saw the object while driving on the New Jersey Turnpike.

### THE INVESTIGATION

Sue has interviewed both primary witnesses, John and Mary on the telephone. She has also spoken to the newspaper reporter. She has performed an extensive investigation in gathering all the facts and comparing all of the available data. Sue requested that I assist her in part of the investigation concerning the location of various blimps in the area on the date in question. I called Teterboro Airport since it is several miles from North Bergen and the location of the initial sighting. The supervisor of the Airport Operations Group stated that the Budweiser Blimp was at the airport on the 16th of August. He also stated that the Gulf Blimp was operating between the 15th and 17th of August. The Budweiser Blimp returned on the 19th through the 21st of August. There was NO blimp at the airport on the 18th of August the date of the sighting. The supervisor also stated the blimps almost always fly during day time visibility due to the large crew required to land a blimp. He stated that night time flights are extremely rare. I called Newark, Kennedy and LaGuardia airports, they stated they do not allow blimps to land or take off due to heavy traffic. The next call was to Linden airport. They stated that the Blockbuster Video Blimp was at the airport of the 18th of August. Since the airport closed at 9:30 pm, the blimp was definitely on the GROUND. They also stated that blimps do NOT land at night at that airport. Finally, I called Long Island airport, and I was not able to reach the airport supervisor. Unofficially, one of the airport personnel believed that there were no blimps on the date in question.

In conclusion, this is a very reliable sighting due to the independent witness, and the close descriptions and behavior of the object observed. The Primary witnesses are very credible and their statements have not

changed over the course of the investigation. It must be stated that in both descriptions, the witnesses stated that the objects could NOT be a blimp due to the movement, and the way it departed. Since this was such a high profile sighting, it's curious as to why there were not any more reports. Future updates to follow....

*What follows in a newspaper article that appeared in Jersey City's HUDSON DISPATCH on August 20, 1993.*

## Flying saucers sightings reported in Hudson area

By Greg Wilson  
Journal staff writer

NORTH BERGEN — Robert Herzig is sure he saw a UFO over Tonelle Avenue Wednesday night. So are the other four passengers who were in his car.

Although their descriptions of a brightly lit flying saucer match an independent account given by another area resident, yet another skywatcher — a policeman, no less — thinks the close encounter may have been with a blimp.

"We thought it was a blimp, at first," said Herzig, a 34-year-old manager at a Jersey City apparel company. "But it was hovering in a fixed position. We watched it for a good two or three minutes while we waited for the light to change.

"Suddenly, it took off in a flash of light, faster than anything you've ever seen. It moved southeast, toward Hoboken."

Marian Terminiato, a passenger in Herzig's car, said the craft cast a "gorgeous glow" from evenly-spaced lights before it sped away.

Police in New York City, Hoboken, and North Bergen said they received no calls about UFOs Wednesday.

"We usually only get those calls when there's a full moon," cracked North Bergen Police Lt. Timothy Kelly.

But a Fairview police officer, who refused to be identified, said he saw something in the air Wednesday night around 10 p.m.

"I saw something flying up there, but I'm pretty sure it was a blimp," he said. His description of the craft's flight pattern matched Herzig's. "It had a lot

of bright lights on it and it was moving very fast."

No blimps were tethered in Teterboro Airport Wednesday. The Blockbuster Blimp was moored at Linden Airport until yesterday morning, but was not in flight at the time of Herzig's alleged sighting.

There were no unexplained blips on the radar screen at nearby Teterboro Airport, according to Bill Van Bruinisse, manager of the airport's control tower.

Another alleged sighting came at Fairview Little League Field, also around 10 p.m. Little League mom Patty Strobel said she and about 15 others watched a UFO hover for several minutes high above the field.

"We were all saying 'Beam me up, Scottie,' and making the Vulcan sign with our hands," Strobel said yesterday. "It was about 50 feet in diameter, round, with a bunch of lights on it and one big beam on top."

George Filer, a retired Air Force major who compiles information on UFO sightings for New Jersey Mutual UFO Network, said UFOs most often are seen travelling northwest to southeast. He described Herzig's experience as fairly common.

"But until one lands in Giants Stadium and we can all walk up and touch it, people aren't going to believe it," Filer said.

But Filer said some, if not most, UFO sightings may have natural explanations, such as "ball lightning," a phenomenon where bolts of lightning flash in a circular formation. Another possible explanation, according to Filer, is meteors.



# — UPDATE —

By Paul Ferrughelli

## CLOSE ENCOUNTER WITNESS SELECTION COMPARISON

### BACKGROUND

Over the years many close encounter sightings have occurred in the presence of many witnesses with only a single witness actually observing and reporting the event. One must ask the question: How could a UFO be observed for over 10 minutes at a busy intersection, at 8:00 o'clock in the morning, with dozens of people present and only be observed by a single witness? There are dozens of cases like this over the years which appear to defy common sense.

Many individuals who report such events, believe that there was a selection factor involved. They the individual, was selected to observe the UFO and they were the only one involved or chosen. If this were true, then there should be evidence in the data to show if there is a preference for close encounter sightings to appear before SINGLE witnesses.

The purpose of this analysis is to determine if there is a preference for close encounter UFO sightings to be observed by a single witness or multiple witnesses. We will analyze the various UFO sighting characteristics by the Time of Day, Visibility reference, Description Comparisons, Monthly Distributions, and Shape Comparisons to determine any witness preferences. Statistical correlation analysis will be performed to determine any relationship between Single and Multiple Witness Reports with respect to Time of Day and by Months of Year over 60 months.

### DATA

The source of the data consists of the 336 close encounter UFO sightings contained in the NSRC Data Base from 1986 through 1992. It should be noted that 6 of the close encounter reports did not include the witness count. Of the remaining 330 CE reports, 150 reports or 45.5% were single witness reports and 180 or 54.5% were multiple witness reports.

### LEADING INDICATOR ANALYSIS




Figure 1

The above Bar Graph displays the description comparison (objects vs lights), visibility reference, and sound presence of the CE UFO sightings. The graph is based on the quality of single and multiple witness reports.

Utilizing the description comparison, it can be observed that there are more multiple witness sightings observing objects as compared to single witness sightings. In terms of percentages, there are 14% more multiple witness sightings as compared to single witness sightings reported over the 7 year time period. The description of lights are almost evenly distributed.

The visibility reference comparison indicated that there are 12.7% more multiple witness sightings occurring during night time visibility as compared to single witness sightings. Although the quantities are small, the visibility reference data indicates that there are more single witness reports occurring during day time and twilight visibility.

The data on sound presence comparisons show a strong preference for multiple witness sightings to report sound during a CE sighting.



As illustrated in Figure 2 below, there is a strong preference for CE-1 reports to be observed by multiple witnesses. There are 20.8% more multiple witness reports as compared to single witness reports over the 7 year time period. However, the CE-2 reports are again almost even in terms of quantity, with respect to witness comparisons.

The high strangeness events CE-4, and humanoid reports all indicate a preference for a single witness. This is most important since all of these reports involve the witness to report some form of an entity as opposed to an object. This single witness preference has also been observed by many of the UFO abduction investigators.

The shape breakdown data is distributed evenly between single and multiple witnesses for most of the shapes. The only noticeable difference is for shape "C", oval and elongated shapes, where multiple witnesses are the leading indicator. Overall, there is no significant preference for witness selection with respect to UFO shapes.




Figure 2

The above graph shows single vs multiple witness comparisons for the Hynek class breakdown and the shape breakdown.

### CORRELATION ANALYSIS

The purpose of this analysis is to determine if there is a significant linear trend between single and multiple witness UFO sightings. If the correlation value "r" is greater than +/- .50 and the "T-Test" value (p) is less than .05 there is a possible strong trend. The time series




Figure 3

Above is a 2 range line graph displaying the monthly distribution of single vs multiple witness reports from 1988 through 1992.

analysis indicated a correlation coefficient (r) of .181 and T-Test value (p) of .167. This indicates a weak relationship between single and multiple witness UFO sightings over the 60 month time period. This indicates that the CE witness preference is random with no apparent relationship.

The Scatter Plot indicates that as the quantity of multiple witness reports increase the quantity of single witness reports decrease. What this relationship means is unknown at this time. A possible interpretation could be that single and multiple witness reports occur randomly with respect to each other over the 60 month time period.




Figure 4

Above is a 2 range bar graph displaying the distribution of single and multiple witness UFO sightings with respect to the time of day for the 1986 through 1992 time period.

**CLOSE ENCOUNTER WITNESS  
COMPARISON BREAKDOWN DATA**

	S/W	M/W	*CHI SQ
OBJECTS.....	118	156	INDEP
LIGHTS.....	21	20	
VISIBILITY/NIGHT.....	117	151	INDEP
VISIBILITY/TWILIGHT.....	10	7	
VISIBILITY/DAY.....	14	10	
SOUND.....	27	48	INDEP
NO SOUND.....	109	120	
CE-1.....	93	142	DEPEN
CE-2.....	33	29	
CE-3.....	8	6	
CE-4.....	13	3	
HUMANOID REPORT.....	3	0	
SHAPE A:			
LIGHTS/ILLUMI.....	21	19	INDEP
SHAPE B: DISC, ROUND, SPHERE.....	50	51	
SHAPE C: OVAL, ELONG.....	7	17	
SHAPE D: DELTOID, TRIANGLE.....	21	24	
SHAPE E: CIGAR, CYLINDRICAL.....	6	06	
SHAPE F: RECTANGULAR, SQUARE.....	4	11	
SHAPE G: OTHER DEFINED OBJECTS.....	32	46	

\* The CHI-SQUARE results are based on the above categorical data groups, contingency tables, to accept or reject the dependency to the witness count. The X critical value was taken at the .95 significance level with respect to the associated degrees of freedom.

It can be observed that the Hynek classification data exhibited a CHI-SQUARE value greater than the critical value, thus indicating a statistical dependence between the data. However, since the Hynek class data is based on UFO sighting strangeness, the interpretation of the result is unknown. The other categories, such as visibility reference, sound presence and description data are more objective type data and can be clearly interpreted.

**OBSERVATIONS**

The leading Indicator analysis indicates that there are more multiple witnesses observing objects as opposed to lights. There are more multiple witness reports occurring during night time visibility. However, with respect to day light CE reports there is a slight preference for single witness reports, this is unusual since more people are aware of their surroundings during day time hours.

When considering the Hynek classification breakdown, there is a noticeable preference for multiple witnesses to report CE-1 type sightings. Yet single witness reports are the leading Indicator when dealing with high strangeness reports.

Perhaps the most unusual results are those of the correlation analysis for the time of day, and monthly distribution over 60 months. The results indicate that there is strong trend for the time of day, and a weak trend for the monthly distribution. When observing the line plot in figure 3, there is a noticeable difference in the distribution of the sightings and very weak associated correlation value, what this means is unknown. Overall, there appears to be no preference for single witness CE reports observing a UFO, yet there is a preference for a single witness to observe entities. *TO BE CONTINUED.....*

*NOTE: The NSRC National Sighting Yearbook - 1992 is now available with data on 1441 UFO sightings from 1986 - 1992. The 1992 Yearbook contains a revised graphic format including more graphs, maps, charts, and observation data. Please forward \$9.00 to the following address if interested: NSRC C/O Paul Ferrughelli, 60 Allen Drive, Wayne, New Jersey 07470.*

**HYNEK SIGHTING REPORT  
CLASSIFICATIONS**

This is the traditional method of describing an event as a distant or close encounter of the first, second or third kind. The investigator should be aware that, unless the case report can reasonably rule out natural and man-made sources, the HYNEK rationale declares it to be a non-case, and so no value is given. UFO reports differ in many details. But there are a number of similarities that recur in such features as shape, maneuverability, appearance, disappearance, sound and color. There are several basic observational categories into which sighting reports may be classified.

*continued on the next page*



## A. Relatively

### Distant Sightings

DE-1. Nocturnal Lights. These are sightings of well-defined lights in the night sky whose appearance and/or motion are not explainable in terms of conventional lights sources. The lights appear most often as red, blue, orange or white. They form the largest group of UFO reports.

DE-2. Daylight Discs. Daytime sightings are generally of oval or disc shaped, metallic-appearing objects. They can appear high in the sky or close to the ground, and they are often reported to hover. They can seem to disappear with astounding speed.

DE-3. Radar-Visual cases. Of special significance are unidentified "blips" on radar screens that coincide with and confirm simultaneous visual sightings by the same or other witnesses. These cases are infrequent.

## B. Relatively Close Sightings

(within 200 yards)

CE-1. Close Encounters of the First Kind. Though the witness observes a UFO nearby, there appears to be no interaction with either the witness or the environment.

CE-2. Close Encounters of the Second Kind. These encounters include details of interaction between the UFO and the environment which may vary from interference with car ignition systems and electronic gear to imprints or burns on the ground and physical effects on plants, animals and humans.

CE-3. Close Encounters of the Third Kind. In this category, occupants of a UFO—entities that are human-like ("humanoid") or not humanlike in appearance—have been reported. There is usually no direct contact or communication with the witness. However, in recent years, reports of incidents involving very close contact—even detainment of witnesses—have increased.

## Vallee/MICAP/Paranet Classifications & Ratings


In order to encompass the full range of phenomena one finds in the modern literature, it is important to acknowledge that UFOs are related in significant ways to other anomalies. It is the rule, rather than the exception, to find significant UFO sightings preceded or followed by other anomalies, notably the poltergeist variety. The classification scheme graphically summarized below, created by Dr. Jacques Vallee, is being adopted by ParaNet and MICAP.

(AN Rating) - Anomaly - May not specifically deal with UFO Reports: AN1; AN2; AN3; AN4; AN5.

(MA Rating) Maneuver - are best classified according to the apparent behavior of the object rather than the circumstances independent of it, such as daylight or night, or radar rather than visual observation: MA1; MA2; MA3; MA4; MA5

(FB Rating) Fly-By: FB1; FB2; FB3; FB4; FB5

(CE Rating) Close Encounters: CE1; CE2; CE3; CE4; CE5



# Close encounters of a N.J. woman

By TAMI LUHBY  
Special to The Home News  
From the Asbury Park Press

While most people enjoyed their Fourth of July holiday with friends and family, Mindy Gerber spent her holiday picketing the White House in Washington in Operation Right-to-Know.

Along with several dozen others, she was demanding that the government release what they believe is more than 20,000 pages of classified documents about unidentified flying objects and alien encounters.

The East Windsor resident claims she and her mother, former New Jersey resident Lorraine Gerber, have been abducted by aliens several times. However, she says this does not interfere with her work as a registered nurse with New Jersey Blood Services, New Brunswick.

Several newspapers and radio and television stations have interviewed Gerber recently about her experiences.

**Q** When were you first abducted and when was the most recent abduction?

**A** I have had four hypnotic regressions and the earliest memory was about age 7. The last one happened about a year or two ago now. Probably about two years ago now. But I believe there have been more abductions before and after that.

**Q** Why did you go to the hypnotist in the first place?

**A** Well, I had read some books by Budd Hopkins, "Missing Time" and "Intruders," that a friend of mine had lent me. After I had read these books, I thought this might have happened to my mother. I still didn't know this might have happened to me. After my mother read these books, she wrote to the Mutual UFO Network, whose address was given in the book. After being interviewed by Budd Hopkins, she had an interview with a MUFON investigator, who filled out a report and suggested she go for hypnotic regressions with Dr. David Jacobs, a

history professor from Temple University who's been involved in this research. She got in touch with Dave Jacobs and did have the hypnotic regression and turned out to be an abductee. After she had a couple of sessions, David, who knows this runs in families sometimes, wanted to talk to me. So he interviewed me on the phone and I made an appointment for hypnosis with him. That's how I found out I was an abductee.

**Q** Can you describe what happened during one of these abductions?

**A** I found myself being transported aboard a UFO, being placed upon a table undergoing a physical examination process. There are several, about five smallish beings, doing this process. There's also a taller being that does a mind scan process and a reproductive process.

**Q** Why do you think they abducted you and your mother?

**A** Apparently there is some kind of genetic study they're doing. They are following certain families. It seems to be very random as to cultural or religious background. There doesn't seem to be any rhyme or reason as to who gets abducted. Just a large, wide cross-section of the population does get abducted.

**Q** Were you ever able to bring back any proof of these abductions?

**A** No. The experience was only recalled under hypnotic regression. There was no other proof I had of the validity of the experience.

**Q** Has coming out about the abductions affected your career as a nurse?

**A** No. I don't think it has. My nursing career has been very separate from this, although I do occasionally run into people who have had these experiences. It's very rare when people discuss this.

**Q** How have these abductions affected your life in general?

**A** It's made me more aware of the universe, the stars and the planets, and the possibility of life elsewhere. On some levels, I've been more isolated. Becoming very public about this is risky business, and I really don't expect my family or anybody to take those risks with me. On the other hand, I've made so many new friends who are involved with the subject and in this research, that it's almost had the opposite effect. I'm almost inundated with people who are interested in the subject.

**Q** Why do you think the government is withholding evidence about alien encounters?

**A** I think the technology we're talking about when we're talking about aliens is so advanced that it can absolutely change everything we know and believe in. That can be dangerous for people. They are motivated by issues of national security in terms of the technology involved and the implications on society in general. It can be a very dangerous thing if people's religious beliefs were questioned.

**Q** Do you think that aliens would ever announce themselves to earth?

**A** I think that's a possibility we have to prepare for. If they ever did that without preparing us on some level, I think it would be very shocking, to say the least. If there was a gradual indoctrination process, we would be more able to accept this, if it was ever going to become that apparent to that many people.

**Q** Why do so many people doubt stories about aliens?

**A** People need physical evidence. Seeing is not always believing. Hearing is not always believing. Until a person has had a personal experience, or has seen some hard-core evidence, he or she is skeptical. People should be skeptical about what they hear.





## AN INSIDE VIEW....

### Third Great Annual UFO Conference In A Row

By Tom Benson

The third annual New Hampshire MUFON UFO Conference was held near historic and quaint Portsmouth. Portsmouth is home of the famous UFO abductee, Betty Hill. I recommend the Harbor boat cruise there, if you go to next year's conference.

MUFON's Assistant State Director for New Hampshire, Walter E. Friesendorf made welcoming remarks and asked the audience that all the psychotherapists present to raise their hands, and ten or so did. Friesendorf said they were here today, mainly because of the influence of Dr. Mack. Friesendorf mentioned that a special meeting for psychotherapists will be held in the area. Dr. John E. Mack was lead off speaker. Mack mentioned PEER (Program for Extraordinary Experiencers) and his research center, recently established. At this conference and the MUFON conference held in July, there is an acute difference indicated in the data the abduction researchers are gathering from the experiencers. On one hand, Drs. Mack and R. Leo Sprinkle (the pioneer of all abduction researchers) are finding many experiencers that report past lives, while Budd Hopkins and David Jacobs do not. Mack more or less, repeated his MUFON Conference in Richmond, Virginia lecture, but it is well he did because he is on the cutting edge of new ideas in this line of research, in fact, he is rapidly moving close to my own ideas regarding the intent of the phenomenon. For instance, Hopkins and Jacobs see the phenomenon as completely physical, Mack and Sprinkle do not. Mack compared the dualist philosophical world view, where it is perceived that we are alone in the cosmos, and the abduction phenomenon which is structured to crash this world view. Mack says, the phenomenon tells us there are other intelligences. Today, society says to reach out for the spirit world is okay, but psychotic, if it reaches us. Mack points out that the phenomenon reaches bodies to get at souls. Mack said he has worked with 76 people, with or without hypnosis regarding their abduction experiences. He finds the experiencers emotion is authentic. Mack referenced his science author friend, Thomas Koone (sp?) who states regarding the phenomena, to drop all realities of science and re-collect the

data. Mack said many experiencers have the same intensity when reporting past lives.

Mack says the problem with the phenomenon is physical evidence, the "smoking gun". He believes the phenomenon will not release its secrets easily, it will not reduce itself, we have to reach it, we must grow as a species, we must open our consciousness to the sacred. Mack discussed three levels of consciousness: 1. the nuts and bolts, 2. not understandable, kind of physical attempt to arrive at it by listening to experiencers and 3. open to a wider view. Mack mentioned his breath and hypnosis work with experiencers where he goes through their experiences until a transformation occurs. He states the transformation period relates to the reality of the beings, acknowledges the terror and trauma. Mack says the experiencers abduction experiences an intensity as ever encountered by a psychiatrist. Mack mentioned that the phenomenon includes experiences similar to near death, the collapse of time and space is involved, and the emotional release.

Mack says the phenomenon forces us to open ourselves to the spirit realms, what the aliens are up to, the evolution of our species and hybridization for another time. It expands consciousness and the who we are question is raised. He says a cosmic correction and intervention is going on. He compared it to the return of the butterflies to control or stop the caterpillars of destroying everything on earth. Mack says aspects of the phenomenon include the transcendental, it is uplifting as well as terror. He says there are different types of aliens, but the grays are the most common.

Richard Hoagland was the next speaker. He says there is a physics to spirituality. Hoagland says the monuments on Mars were built by the visitors, not "Martians" as in H.G.Wells. If earlier laid out, they can come back. The center artifact which is one mile long monument on the plains of Cydonia, whoever was there, appears to have a timetable. We have to get our act together, our culture, our society and our environment. The clock is ticking, accelerating, Hoagland said. The next few years are important marks up ahead. The Cydonia face, Hoagland says, is similar to "Homo erectus", beings on earth before us. Regarding Mars Observer (MO), Hoagland at a recent press conference at the Press Club, Washington, D.C., was presented by the Swedish consul a "silver medal" for physics derived from his work. The medal is an international medal in science established by the Angstrom Association based in Sweden.

Hoagland says physics provides a plausible linkage to the phenomenon. Hoagland says a new paradigm, reality change is going on. He says the SETI (Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence) is based on the assumption, if ET's exist, ET's will phone NASA. Hoagland

*continued on next page*

referred to a Brookings Institute report which mentioned the implications of a discovery of ET life, NASA probes would encounter artifacts left by beings on the Moon, Mars and Venus (p. 215). Hoagland also referred to the McDaniel report, a Chronology on Mars, SETI Priorities from Mars Observer. Hoagland says copies of this report can be obtained by phoning 1-800-424-0031 or 510-644-2116. Hoagland says the specificity of the geometry of the Cydonia region was described by a Defense Dept. Mapping Analyst who in an attempt to disprove Hoagland, developed a sort of mathematical "Rosetta Stone" indicating the same constants were coded in the Cydonia complex. Hoagland says cydonia is trying to tell us, the "tetrahedron" concept and is applicable to other planets in the solar system. A point at 19.5 degrees indicates an upwelling such as the volcano, Mons on Mars which is its largest.

Hoagland believes somebody at NASA, a rogue group so to speak, pulled the plug on MO. Hoagland says in 1989, Phobos, the Soviet satellite saw something and there is a similarity in the disappearance between it and MO. Hoagland says he is getting a lot of third party phone calls from engineers, etc. stating MO is now 073 a stealth program. Hoagland predicts that MO will be back on by January, 1994, December 7th was a suggested date (Also I note it is the anniversary of the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor, Hawaii). Hoagland said he also knows an individual that has a 80 foot high radio telescope and if MO is sending any message, it will be picked up. Hoagland showed a controversial slide where he outlined the complete Cydonia face. He got a lot of gasps, when he said the face symbolically, shows a feline image, a man/lion appearance suggesting hybridization. Hoagland says, in the future, watch TV, note the stunning collapse of countries, now getting together, it is all too coincidental, if one thing is big enough, it would have had to be Cydonia and what it implies.

Linda Howe was next on the program and she mentioned she has a new book coming out in November, called "Alien Realities". Howe says there are different alien types and spoke about the journey of the soul, a new paradigm is occurring. The phenomenon she says, comes into bedrooms, etc. and it must be "dark". She referred to the recent Crop Circles conference in Sheffield, Britain which she attended. She says different intelligences, with mixed motives are behind animal mutilations, crop circles and abductions. She said the most impressive crop circle formation this year was a 445 ft. pictogram. She said the crop circles contain many anomalies such as standing stocks of wheat that were bent. She says that part of the plant's stem is removed by some kind of energy, the plant cells indi-

cate conductivity of electricity that is abnormal, and the control and effected areas samples were different. The intense energy interacting with the plants occurs just for a 35-40 seconds period. She says some crop circles indicate mathematical theorems such as the "Chaos" formation. Howe also showed a slide of the "Disabled Symbol" formation.

Regarding animal mutilations, she says that blood and tissue taken and incisions made indicate the same pattern over the years and is caused by high heat. She described recent Alabama mute cases and showed a video with seven nocturnal light UFOs moving around. Helicopters were also reported. Howe says cattle mutilations, mainly now include calves six months or younger and there have been no bulls mutilated for three years. She said the phenomenon is changing to make us change.

She said a lot of horse mutilations are now occurring in Europe. Howe mentioned her 1983 meeting with Richard Doty at Kirtland, AFB and she said her movie, "Strange Harvest" upset people in Washington because she connected UFOs to mutes.

Describing the different aliens visiting us, she referred to them as having spectral dimensions, different frequencies and their carrier waves are based on sound. She described experiences while onboard a UFO viewing environmental disasters. Other space-time dimensions, holographic projections and dimensional beings are part of the phenomenon she says. In her slide presentation, Howe showed drawings of aliens drawn by experiencers including the "hook nose" being that according to Robert Emenecker's book (*UFOs Past Present & Future*, Ballantine, New York, 1974) landed at Holloman AFB. (I must note here that in Emenecker's book, pages 127-129, the Holloman incident is hypothetical, not real and the notorious William Cooper also promotes this alien type in his presentations). Howe says the aliens may be millions of years older than us. She said the public can't handle the truth, with competing species and the secret war that may be about us. Howe says there were government intelligence discussions during 1985-87 (a la Howard Blum's Working Group) that mentioned cloning of humans and earth's secret war. Howe asked are the people coming forward disinformers or real informers?

Howe mentioned, the humanity is "property" (An earlier Charles Fort statement) concept. She asks who was the "serpent", are we an experiment, we are not alone, a new cosmology is emerging, human consciousness is changing and contributes to spiraling evolution.

Colin Andrews came on next, but he wasn't his old self as last year. He said he was having a bad case of "tinnitus" among other problems. Andrews started off saying, "Stay tuned, things regarding human survival,

*continued on next page*




*"Inside View" continued*


can go either way." He said he first became involved with the Crop Circle phenomenon research when he saw a cross in a field, 11 years ago, and he eventually left his job.

He described an experiment he and associates did in a crop circle where a brainwave machine was wired up (with electrodes) to investigators. The brainwave machine graphically showed in color on a display screen, alpha disruptions and beta peaks. Andrews also discussed dowsing, both with the use of equipment and without that had effects in the crop circles. He referred to people that were magnetically sensitive and who did not need external dowsing equipment to pick up effects.

Andrews was very concerned about a video that is being made in Southern England due to come out in October, 1993 that seems to be timed, he says, with his scheduled lecture on October 22nd at the United Nations in New York City. Andrews says the video is to claim that 80% of the crop circles, the last two years are hoaxes. UFOs will also be shown as hoaxes such as a chemically lighted balloon. It is claimed that infrared equipment by investigators in trees, caught hoaxers in the act of making circles, etc. Andrews also showed a slide of the "Disabled Symbol" crop circle pictogram and said this is the punchline, the earth's bubble is about to burst, don't allow others to disable us.



*A bizarre German crop circle.*



*The Barbury Castle formation.*

The conference's last speaker was David Jacobs. Jacobs started off by crediting Budd Hopkins referring to his book, *Missing Time*, and pointed out that Hopkins was the first to mention multiple abductions and scar marks on humans. Jacobs said, Hopkins opened up the window in a systematic way, showing there is more information out there. Jacobs recommended to do your own research, and to learn hypnosis - anybody can learn the technique he says, and apply it if the person is willing. Asking the right questions at the right time is the tough part.

Regarding mode of questions, if something different is said for the first time, he places it on the back burner to possibly confirm later. Jacobs states, he has conducted 450 regression sessions to date, with a couple of hours of data out of each session. He says, we don't yet know the aliens purpose, we collect data on implants, alien instruments, emotions solicited, sexual arousal, mental and visual procedures, and ground effects. Jacobs via slides showed drawings of aliens drawn by young children and discussed outside abductions, and the Betty Luca Andreasson case regarding the "Phoenix" symbol. Jacobs asks what adds up? Why are they here? What do they do during the day? He says, he is doing a new line of research on hybrids. Jacobs states, we must fill in the blanks in our information overload. Adult hybrids are reported to be involved alot. Reports of humans are probably hybrids. Jacobs sadly says, most of the results of his research is negative. He says he is not pleased about the phenomenon and does not like the alien pace. Jacobs tells how the field of Ufology, evolved over the years. First we had a breakthrough with the "Day the Earth Stood Still" movie (1951) where the UFO visited the White House. Later science fiction movies showed two species contacting each other, have exchanges and show mutual respect. Then the abduction phenomenon is a breakthrough, that has opened up knowledge and insight. Jacobs says, if we ask the right questions, we then know the pathways to explore. He goes on, an unknown why, is the ultimate purpose, we can not tell the future. We are approaching it, compared to a few years ago. We are doing more real science than scientists themselves. Jacobs here credited conference speakers as an example. In the questions and answers period after Jacobs lecture, Dr. Mack asked, what reality is this? Jacobs replied: What are they doing in real time.

I look forward to see more of the Chronicle readers to attend next years conference. For information write New Hampshire MUFON, P.O. Box 453, Rye, NH 03870.

# MJ-12 THE FBI LINK

By Nicholas Redfern

Ever since their emergence in the UFO community in 1987, the so-called MJ-12 or *Majestic 12* documents have been the subject of intense debate regarding their authenticity or otherwise. They first gained prominence in *Above Top Secret* written by Timothy Good and have been the subject of numerous reports authored by an array of researchers ever since. 1

The documents allege that in early July 1947 an extraterrestrial vehicle crashed approximately 75 miles north west of Roswell Army Air Base in New Mexico, and that the bodies of the crew of the object were also discovered and that a high level committee (the Majestic 12) was created in response to this startling event. Are these documents authentic? Have classified U.S. Government papers been "leaked" to the public? These were questions that numerous UFO researchers asked themselves. They were questions also asked by the United States' Federal Bureau of Investigations.

On September 15, 1988, a Special Agent with the U.S. Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) contacted the office of the FBI in Dallas and supplied FBI agents with copies of the MJ-12 papers. There was concern on the part of the FBI that they were possibly in possession of a genuine U.S. Government document which had not been properly declassified. The Dallas office noted that there had been at least two radio shows broadcast on MJ-12 in September and October 1988 and that this had generated some publicity.

On October 25th, Dallas FBI sent a Secret Airtel, titled "POSSIBLE DISCLOSURE OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION REGARDING OPERATION MAJESTIC-12", to the Director of the FBI requesting guidance as to whether or not they should launch an investigation into what appeared to be a serious security leak. 2

On November 30, 1988, an arrange meeting took place between agents of the FBI and AFOSI in Washington. During this discussion OSI informed the FBI that they were aware of the existence of the papers, were aware that they had been distributed to various parts of the country, but were satisfied that the documents were completely bogus. This information was duly passed on to the FBI in Dallas via communication again classified Secret and the Dallas office was advised that it should

close its file on MJ-12.

This would appear to lay the matter to rest. However, there are a number of aspects of the above events which remain murky. I have been told by the Chief of the Information Release Division at AFOSI, Bolling Air Force Base that they have no records concerning Majestic 12 other than the documents themselves. I have also been told that the OSI agents who discussed the MJ-12 documents with the FBI made no notes regarding their 'chat' because the MJ-12 papers were not the property of the U.S. Air Force. If OSI is not in possession of any documentation regarding MJ-12 and has not carried out an investigation regarding their authenticity or otherwise, how have they been able to determine that the documents are not genuine and how have they been able to determine that they are not the property of the U.S. Air Force? Furthermore, if OSI have carried out no investigation how have they been able to determine that the documents have been distributed to various parts of the USA? 3

It would appear that some sort of study of the papers simply must have taken place to allow OSI to inform the FBI so confidently that the papers were bogus. Despite this, it does appear that the MJ-12 papers are indeed fakes and a number of legitimate reasons have surfaced which appear to confirm this. The main question that seems to be unanswered is why were these documents created in the first place? I have gone to great lengths to answer this and having had contact with 3 people who appear to have 'inside' knowledge regarding the documents origin.

It would appear that its purpose was threefold. First, to discredit research into the Roswell event, secondly, to confuse the issue surrounding the REAL Majestic-12 which a number of researchers were investigating, and thirdly, to diffuse the interest that a number of people were taking in the Paul Bennewitz case. Whilst a genuine UFO link to the Bennewitz case is possible, it has been suggested to me that, at least in part, Bennewitz had uncovered and intercepted a number of highly classified, low frequency electromagnetic emissions which he believed to be connected with UFO's 4

Suffice it to say, if the purposes of the MJ-12 documents are as described in the above paragraph then they have surely worked. However, things appear to be turning. The evidence for the Roswell event being the genuine crash and recovery of an alien craft appears to be mounting. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that regardless of the bogus MJ-12 papers, a committee such as MJ-12 would surely have been established following the Roswell crash. If, as it appears, the Majestic

*continued on next page*



*"FBI" continued from preceding page*

12 papers are part of a sophisticated plan to deceive the public. It would be ironic if it should be brought down by the FBI who are now releasing copies of the MJ-12 papers with 'BOGUS' written through them with a thick black marker pen. 5

## REFERENCES

1 See also *The Final Report on Operation Majestic 12* by Stanton T. Friedman, *The MJ-12 Documents: An Analytical Report* by William L. Moore and Jamie Shandera and *Alien Liaison* by Timothy Good.

2 An AIRTEL is an intra-communication with highest priority of those sent through the mail. Originally conceived as a teletype sent via airmail, it may be in teletype phraseology.


3 Information concerning AFOSI knowledge of the MJ-12 documents was related to me by Frank H. Batten, Chief, Information Release Division at Bolling Air Force Base.

4 From information obtained between January and May 1993, from sources who have convinced this writer of their authenticity.

5 All information concerning the FBI and MJ-12 as related above is available via FOIA.

*EDITOR'S NOTE: The author's address is being provided, if any of our readers would like to write and discuss the above article with him.*

Nicholas Redfern  
20 Paradise Lane  
Pensall  
Walsall  
West Midlands  
WS3 4NH  
Great Britain




## THE INFORMATION NETWORK

We share stories and information from other newsletters from all over the country. Among them are:

Arkansas MUFON Newsletter  
Arizona MUFON Newsletter  
Citizens Against UFO Secrecy  
Colorado MUFON NEWS  
CONTACT NOTES UFO Forum-Atlanta, Ga.  
Florida MUFON NEWS  
FOCUS-Fair-Witness Project, Inc., Ca.  
Illinois MUFON Newsletter  
LA MUFON Newsletter  
Michigan MUFON Newsletter  
New Hampshire MUFON Newsletter  
New Mexico MUFON NEWS  
North Dakota MUFON Newsletter  
Oklahoma MUFON NEWS  
Operation Right to Know, Washington D.C.  
PASU Data Exchange, Pa.  
SKYWATCH, Ga.  
The UFO ENIGMA, Missouri  
the Ufologist, Palatka, Fl.  
UFO Potpourri, Houston, Tx.  
and especially the  
U.F.O. News clipping Service for providing  
current UFO related stories from around the world!



## THE LIGHTER SIDE OF UFOLOGY



# Researchers considering anti-gravity as possible counter to natural force

BY DONALD SMITH  
NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC

COLLEGE PARK, Md. — When the pendulum swings, the University of Maryland's physics building shakes.

But not so much that students would notice as they walk by the gray basement room where Ho Jung Paik is conducting his experiment measuring the gravitational effects of the huge lead ball.

"It's an extremely small motion," says Paik. "You wouldn't feel it walking down the hall. But it affects our instruments."

Paik, a Korean-born physicist, is among a worldwide community of scientists who have devised a Wizard of Oz inventory of exotic devices in their attempts to solve what many consider the ultimate mystery of the physical universe: gravity.

Gravity is the weakest and least understood of the four known natural forces.


People have discovered how to manipulate the other three forces: electromagnetism, which provides energy for light, heat and kitchen appliances; the strong nuclear force, which binds together atomic nuclei, and the weak force, which causes radioactive decay.

Puzzling results from an experiment in an Australian mine have accelerated the decades-long, worldwide hunt for a fifth natural force — a force that seems to counteract gravity.

Geophysicist Frank Stacey and colleagues at the University of Queensland detected an anti-gravity force using a sensitive meter to measure gravity at different depths in the mine. The force had the strength of only 1 percent of gravity and a range of a few hundred meters. Similar tests in other boreholes and mines have substantiated these results.

The search for gravitational waves — predicted by Einstein's general theory of relativity — has preoccupied scientists increasingly in recent decades. In visualizing the universe as a continuum of space and time, Einstein believed that when massive events occur, such as giant stars exploding and collapsing into black holes, they set off gravitational ripples in the continuum.

Detecting such ripples, along with other gravitational phenomena, requires unimaginably accurate



National Geographic/AP

**Some researchers think a fifth force, sometimes called hypercharge, counters the effect of gravity.**

measuring devices. LIGO, the National Science Foundation's \$230-million Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory, is designed to measure movements as small as one 100-millionth of the diameter of a hydrogen atom.

The first two LIGO observatories are now under construction in Washington state and Louisiana. Others are planned, including one sponsored by a consortium of European nations.

An understanding of how gravity relates to the other, better-understood forces would be the ultimate payoff for most scientists.



# Radio telescope 'very versatile'

*The Associated Press*

**ALBUQUERQUE, N.M.** — The world's biggest astronomical instrument, a 5,000-mile-wide radio telescope, is being dedicated today, and scientists hope it will unravel mysteries from the edge of the universe to the Earth's surface.

The instrument, stretching from the Virgin Islands to Hawaii, actually is a series of 10 computer-steered antennas, each 240 tons, 82 feet wide and nearly 100 feet tall when pointed straight up.

"It's a very versatile instrument designed primarily for astronomy," said Joan Wrobel, a National Radio Astronomy Observatory staff astronomer.

The Very Long Baseline Array radio telescope also can be used for precise measurements of the movement of Earth's crust to help study earthquakes. And it can be used for accurate measurements of variations of the Earth's rotation to improve time keeping, navigation and spacecraft tracking.

It can record high-resolution images of celestial bodies emitting electromagnetic radiation, in wavelengths ranging from about 1 meter to 7 millimeters, billions of light-years away. A light-year is the distance light travels in one year — about 5.9 trillion miles.

The far-flung objects can be powerful sources of energy beaming from the center of galaxies and quasars.

Construction of the VLBA began in 1985 and has cost \$84 million. The dishes are at St. Croix, Virgin Islands; Hancock, N.H.; North Lib-

erty, Iowa; Los Alamos and Pie Town, N.M.; Fort Davis, Texas; Kitt Peak, Ariz.; Owens Valley, Calif.; Brewster, Wash., and atop Mauna Kea, Hawaii.

The antennas are pointed at an object by computers via land lines from the observatory on the campus of New Mexico Tech in Socorro, about 80 miles south of Albuquerque.

The first two dishes first produced a correlated signal in March 1989. All 10 dishes went on line in May.

With all 10 operating, the VLBA has up to 1,000 times more resolution than any other radio telescope, including the 19-mile-wide Very Large Array, whose 27 dishes sit 50 miles west of Socorro on the Plains of San Agustin.

The 10 VLBA sites gather and record signals from space. Tapes are mailed to Socorro — to keep costs down — and there computers produce images the eye would see if it could see radio wavelengths.


The VLBA has "the ability to make movies of objects that are extremely far away, like quasar cores. Something at the edge of the observable universe," said Craig Walker, Wrobel's husband and an astronomer at the observatory.

Other telescopes can take only snapshots of large distant objects, he said in a telephone interview.


"With the VLBA, we can see things on the order of a light-year in size at the edge of the universe.

## Planet-sized telescope

Radio telescopes use dish antennas to collect radio waves much as optical telescopes use mirrors to collect light waves. Since radio waves are much longer in wavelength than visible light, radio telescopes must be much bigger to achieve useful resolution.




## How VLBA works



Each radio telescope has a very precise clock to ensure that observations are made simultaneously. A 504-track magnetic tape recorder stores data.

Data tapes from all ten telescopes are mailed to a control center in Socorro, New Mexico.



Computers combine the data to create images of quasars, galaxies and other space objects. The pictures are expected to be the best ever obtained from radio telescopes.

KARL TATE AP SOURCE: National Radio Astronomy Observatory Scientific American

Things of that size can change in time scales of months and years, which is a reasonable time to do a study," he said.

The VLBA also can be used, in conjunction with optical telescopes, to directly measure the direct distance of objects — one of the most difficult and important aspects of astronomy, he said.

## CALL FOR ENTRIES

### *1993 Donald E. Keyhoe Journalism Award*

#### **Sponsored by The Fund for UFO Research, Inc.**

The Fund for UFO Research, a nonprofit scientific organization based in Washington, D.C., announces cash awards for the best articles or stories published or broadcast in 1993 concerning the subject of Unidentified Flying Objects.

The award was established in 1989 to honor the memory of Major Donald E. Keyhoe, a retired Marine Corps pilot and former director of the National Investigations Committee on Aerial Phenomena. Maj. Keyhoe was an aide to Charles A. Lindbergh after the aviator made the first solo, non-stop flight from New York to Paris in 1927. During the 1930s, Keyhoe was a freelance writer whose work appeared in such publications as *The Nation*, *The Saturday Evening Post* and *Readers Digest*. He passed away on November 29, 1988.

The recipients of the 1992 Donald E. Keyhoe Journalism Award were:

- Christopher Evans of the Cleveland, OH, *Plain Dealer*, for an article describing a U.S. Coast Guard investigation into the March 4, 1988, landing of an unidentified flying object;
- Annette Gillespie of the Bradenton, FL, *Herald*, who wrote about the UFO abduction phenomenon; and
- Dawn Shurmaitis, a reporter for *The Times Leader* of Wilkes-Barre, PA, for a detailed examination of UFO activity and interest in the subject throughout the state.

The three winners shared a cash award of \$850.

#### **Eligibility Requirements**

Any journalist working for a newspaper, magazine, radio or television station whose story on UFOs was published or broadcast between January 1 and December 31, 1993, is eligible to enter the competition. In order to be considered, the story must be submitted with an entry form, signed by the principal author of the story, and a newspaper or magazine clipping, audio cassette or videotape on VHS format. Foreign language stories must be accompanied by an English translation in order to be considered.

A winning entry will be one which--in the opinion of the judges--makes the most significant contribution to public understanding of the UFO phenomenon. Entries should emphasize scientific research. Possible topics may be, but are not limited to: the U.S. Government's involvement in the UFO subject; the phenomenon of UFO "abduction" cases; or investigations into eyewitness reports of UFO sightings. Awards are made without regard to any particular theory about the source of the UFO phenomenon.

**The deadline for submissions is February 1, 1994.** The panel will make its decision by April 1. Entries should be mailed to:

**Journalism Award  
Fund for UFO Research  
P.O. Box 277  
Mt. Rainier, MD 20712**

To receive an entry form or an information package on the UFO subject, call Don Berliner at (703) 684-6032.



## UPCOMING UFO CONFERENCES

# The UFO Conference East



### Show Hours:

Saturday November 20th 10 am - 10 pm

Sunday November 21st 10 am - 8 pm

- 40 Lectures • 40 Exhibits •
- Over 30 Different Workshops •
- Panels On:
- Crop Circles • Abductions •
- Astronomical / Photo-Optics •
- Historical and Future Perspectives •

### General Admission Tickets:

Two Day Pass \$25 (\$30 At the Door)

One Day Pass \$15 (\$20 At the Door)

Workshop Tickets \$25 (\$30 at the Door)

The following is a listing of panel discussions and individuals who will be holding workshops at the conference:

Carlotto, Mark Ph.D.

Comet, Bruce Ph.D.

Crystall, Ellen

Dean, Robert O.

Deetken, Chad

Dilettoso, Jim (Saturday)

Dilettoso, Jim (Sunday)

Douglass, Elaine

Dowell, Colette M.

Ford, John & Levine Brian

Hesemann, Michael

Hoagland, Richard

Hopkins, Budd

Huneus, Antonio

Imbrogno, Phil

Jacobs, David Ph.D.

Lear, John

McCannon, Tricia

Panel, Astronomical

Panel, Historical

Panel, Abductions

Schultz, Gary

Sitchin, Zecharia

Stevens, Wendelle

Steigers, Brad &

Sherry (Saturday)

Steigers, Brad &

Sherry (Sunday)

Thompson, Richard L.

Turner, Karla Ph.D.

Vindola-Dean Cecilia

& Gellman, Stuart

VonKeviczky, Colman S.

Wingfield George

Pre-register for the workshops by calling the following numbers 1-800-332-0099 or 1-310-396-7090.

**At The Ramada Pennsylvania Hotel  
7th Ave. & 33rd St., New York City**

### O-BIT.....WHO WAS ORFEO ANGELUCCI?

(EDITOR'S NOTE: In the last issue of the Chronicle we challenged our readership to answer the above question, to date, we have not received one letter. Maybe that is part of the problem we are experiencing within UFOlogy today....we have forgot the past.

### ORFEO ANGELUCCI (1912-1993)

Orfeo "Orville" Angelucci, 81, died on July 24, 1993 in the Glendale Memorial Hospital, Los Angeles. Born in Trenton, New Jersey, he lived there for 33 years before moving to Los Angeles.

Angelucci was one of the famous contactees of the 1950's. He authored two Flying Saucer Contactee books: *The Secret of the Saucers* (Amherst Press, 1955) and *Son of the Sun* (DeVore, 1959). Religious studies scholar Robert S. Ellwood Jr. was struck by Angelucci's "charm, humility, and sincerity" and said he represented the "religious wing of the UFO contactee movement at its best". Because of the purity of the religious symbolism and spiritual motifs it contains, for this reason, eminent psychiatrist, Carl G. Jung, in his *Flying Saucers: A Modern Myth of Things Seen in the Skies* (1959), devoted some ten pages to an analysis of his experience. Jung wrote: "that Angelucci's version and that is what he thought they were, as opposed to conscious invention-came 'like a gift to the psychologist. The individuation process, the central problem of modern psychology, is plainly depicted in it in an unconscious, symbolic form...although the author with his somewhat primitive mentality has taken it quite literally as a concrete happening'".

### Sources

Clark, Jerome. *The Emergence of a Phenomenon: UFOs from the Beginning through 1959, The UFO Encyclopedia, Volume 2.* (Omnigraphics, Detroit, 1992)

Sach, Margaret, *The UFO Encyclopedia* (G. P. Putnam, New York, 1980)

Story, Ronald, editor. *The Encyclopedia of UFOs* (Dolpin, Garden City, New York, 1980)

(The Chronicle would like to thank Tom Benson for providing the time, writing skills and research in providing this historical insight into the past.)

*End the UFO Cover-Up!*

# UFO RALLY

AT THE

## UNITED NATIONS

**Demonstration, March & Rally  
Live Music, Special Guests**




**Be a Part of History!**

**Friday, Nov. 19, 12 Noon**

Dag Hamerskjold Plaza

47th St. & 2nd Ave.

**New York City**



**Documented evidence of the reality of UFO's is overwhelming, not only in the US, but in Europe, South America, Russia, Asia and Africa. We call upon the United Nations to lead the world in acknowledging the off world contact that has been reported from every corner of the globe.**

The human race is not alone in the cosmos, but many of the world's governments have tried to prevent the people from learning this truth. Instead, what the people need is leadership, and we call upon the United Nations to provide it. We call upon the UN Secretary General to end the worldwide UFO cover-up and acknowledge to the world's people that the UFO phenomenon is extraterrestrial.

In 1978 the UN made its first step in this direction by enacting General Assembly Decision 33/426. The Decision, which was never implemented, would have created "an agency of the United Nations for undertaking and disseminating research into unidentified flying objects." We call upon the Secretary General to implement General Assembly Decision 33/426 immediately.

**Implement UN GA Decision 33/426!**

**NYCUFOR**



Sponsored by:

NY Center for UFO Research

Operation Right to Know

**INFORMATION: MIKE LUCKMAN 212-995-0384 ELAINE DOUGLASS 202-232-2410**